UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/600,700	06/23/2003	Yu-Chen Chuang	10496-US-PA	5739	
31561 7590 10/07/2009 JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE 7 FLOOR-1, NO. 100 ROOSEVELT ROAD, SECTION 2 TAIPEI, 100			EXAMINER		
			RAMPURIA, SATISH		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TAIWAN		2191			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/07/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USA@JCIPGROUP.COM.TW Belinda@JCIPGROUP.COM.TW

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/600,700	CHUANG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
SATISH RAMPURIA	2191		

	SATISH RAMPURIA	2191	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>18 September 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, wwith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	n. LED WITHIN TWO
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beta appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);	ΓE below);	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [·	•	-
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	ided below or appended.	r be entered and an e.	Apianation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17 and 20-25</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail: ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10.		•	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		condition for allowan	ce because:
12.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Anna Deng/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 2191	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

In response to applicants arguments that the combination of Vincent, Zhang and Harrow do not disclose first-client server communicates with system server using interversion protocol.

As indicated on page 3 of the office action that Vincent discloses the first client server. Vincent does not explicitly disclose communication technique as claimed and as indicated in italics that the communication technique using interversion protocol is not taught by Vincent.

However, this type of communication technique is taught by Zhang. In view of applicant's specification (see page 7) that inverversion protocol includes a format for analyzing a received message, for example, an inquiry in network communication. Here, Zhang discloses an inter-server protocol that is used to allow the servers to communicate with one another. The formats contains a header includes a Message Length that indicates the length of the entire message. This field is used to verify if a complete message is read in an actual call. A Version Number indicates the application message version. A Message Category indicates which of the different types of messages that may be carried between processors, such as OAM, session activation or deactivation messages, etc. A Message Type includes a message type definition file. A Message ID is used to correlate request and response within an application. This field is also used as a sequence number. A payload which follows the header, contains data specific to the message type, see (col. 4, lines 28-45). Further, the rejection points out that the motivation to first-client server communicate with system server using interversion protocol would be to reduce the downtime during the update procedure. Thus, the prima facie case of obviousness has been established. Moreover, it is believed that either Zhang or Harrow remedies the deficiency of Vincent as compared with claim 1.